

PHYSICS COLLOQUIUM: Predictive Power of the Exact Contraints and Appropriate Norms in Density Functional Theory, with Interpretations of Ground-State Symmetry Breaking and Strong Correlation

<u>Date:</u> 4/9/2021

<u>Time:</u> 10:30 AM-11:50 AM

<u>Link:</u>

Please contact snsgradstaff@ucmerced.edu for the Zoom link and passcode. John P. Perdew Department of Physics and Chemistry Temple University

Abstract:

^aDepartments of Physics and Chemistry, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122

Kohn-Sham density functional theory is computationally similar to Hartree-Fock theory, but is in principle exact for the ground-state energy and density of a manyelectron system. In practice, the density functional for the exchange-correlation energy must be approximated. The SCAN functional¹ satisfies 17 exact constraints plus appropriate norms like the uniform gas, which make it predictive for many atoms, molecules, and solids, including some strongly-correlated ones^{2,3}. Strong correlations within a symmetry-unbroken ground-state wavefunction can show up in approximate density functional theory as symmetry-broken spin-densities or total densities. They can arise from soft modes of fluctuations (sometimes collective excitations) such as spin-density or charge density waves at non-zero wavevector. Familiar examples are the unobservable but revealing symmetry breaking in stretched H₂ and the observable symmetry breaking in antiferromagnetic solids. The example discussed here is the static charge-density wave/Wigner crystal phase of a low density ($r_{\rm s} \approx 69$) jellium. Time-dependent density functional theory is used to show quantitatively that the static charge density wave is a soft plasmon. More precisely, the frequency of a related density fluctuation drops to zero, as found from the frequency moments of the spectral function. Our calculation⁴ is based on a recent constraint-based wavevector- and frequency-dependent jellium exchangecorrelation kernel.⁵ (Supported by NSF DMR & DOE BES.)

¹J. Sun, A. Ruzsinszky, and J.P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 036402 (2015). ²Y. Zhang, C. Lane, J.W. Furness, B. Barbiellini, J.P. Perdew, R.S. Markiewicz, A. Bansil, and J. Sun, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **117**, 68 (2020).

³ Y. Zhang, J. Furness, R. Zhang, Z. Wang, A. Zunger, and J. Sun, Phys. Rev. B 102, 045112 (2020).

⁴ J.P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, J. Sun, N.K.Nepal, and A.D. Kaplan, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 118, e201785024 (2021).

⁵ A. Ruzsinszky, N.K. Nepal, J.M. Pitarke, and J.P. Perdew, Phys, Rev. B **101**, 245135 (2020).